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Mell B, Jala VR, Mathew AV, Byun J, Waghulde H, Zhang Y, Haribabu B, Vijay-Kumar M, Pennathur S, Joe B. Evidence for a link between gut microbiota and hypertension in the Dahl rat. Physiol Genomics 47: 187–197, 2015. First published March 31, 2015; doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.00136.2014.—The gut microbiota plays a critical role in maintaining physiological homeostasis. This study was designed to evaluate whether gut microbial composition affects hypertension. 16S rRNA genes obtained from cecal samples of Dahl salt-sensitive (S) and Dahl salt-resistant (R) rats were sequenced. Bacteria of the phylum Bacteroidetes were higher in the S rats compared with the R rats. Furthermore, the family S24-7 of the phylum Bacteroidetes and the family Veillonellaceae of the phylum Firmicutes were higher in the S rats compared with the R rats. Analyses of the various phylogenetic groups of cecal microbiota revealed significant differences between S and R rats. Both strains were maintained on a high-salt diet, administered antibiotics for ablation of microbiota, transplanted with S or R rat cecal contents, and monitored for blood pressure (BP). Systolic BP of the R rats remained unaltered irrespective of S or R rat cecal transplantation. Surprisingly, compared with the S rats given S rat cecal content, systolic BP of the S rats given a single bolus of cecal content from R rats was consistently and significantly elevated during the rest of their life, and they had a shorter lifespan. A lower level of fecal bacteria of the family Veillonellaceae and increased plasma acetate and heptanoate were features associated with the increased BP observed in the S rats given R rat microbiota compared with the S rats given S rat microbiota. These data demonstrate a link between microbial content and BP regulation and, because the S and R rats differ in their genomic composition, provide the necessary basis to further examine the relationship between the host genome and microbiome in the context of BP regulation in the Dahl rats.
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THE MAINTENANCE of blood pressure (BP) homeostasis is a complex process that is carefully orchestrated by a variety of genetic and environmental factors and studied extensively in rat models (2, 5, 11, 15–17, 19, 21–23, 26, 42–44, 50). Dietary salt is one of the prominent environmental factors influencing the development and progression of salt-sensitive hypertension (13, 14, 24, 57). As the consumed salt is transported through the gastrointestinal tract, one of the anatomical sites through which it is absorbed, in addition to the small intestine and the colon, is the cecum. The functions of the cecum are to absorb fluids and salts that remain after completion of intestinal digestion and absorption and to mix its contents with a lubricating substance, mucus. The cecum is also an “anaerobic fermentor” as it houses a large number of bacteria that aid in digestion of undigested material in the stomach and small intestine. This is accomplished by a fermentative process that helps in breaking down fibers for their survival (33).

In recent years, there is ample evidence in the literature to suggest that the micro-organisms residing in the gut, collectively termed as gut microbiota, contribute importantly to the pathophysiology of a variety of disorders such as obesity, colitis, inflammatory diseases, metabolic syndrome, and kidney disease (1, 7–10, 28, 30, 31, 35, 45, 46, 52, 53, 55, 56). Recent studies of olfactory receptors and G protein-coupled receptors suggest that short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) produced by the gut microbiota likely influence BP regulation that is facilitated by renal sensory receptors (34, 38–41). Besides this, to our knowledge, there are no studies reported that test the influence, if any, of the gut microbiota on hypertension.

Due to the facts that salt is a major environmental factor influencing salt-sensitive forms of hypertension and that salt is absorbed in the cecum, which is also one of the sites where a large number of bacteria reside (18, 47, 48), we hypothesized that interactions between the host and the gut microbiota influence the development of salt-sensitive hypertension. To test this hypothesis, we collected the cecal content from the Dahl salt-sensitive (S) and Dahl salt-resistant (R) rats and administered them orally to R and S rats, respectively. Data obtained from monitoring the BP of these rats are presented.

METHODS

Animals

All animal research protocols were approved by the University of Toledo’s Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Experiments were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. S and R rats were inbred in our colony.
Breeding stocks, dams, and pups (prior to weaning) were maintained on a low salt (0.3% NaCl)-containing diet (Harlan Teklad, 7034). Pups were weaned at 4 wk of age and continued to be maintained on a low-salt diet for experiments conducted under low-salt conditions or fed a high salt (2% NaCl)-containing diet (Harlan Teklad, TD94217) for experiments conducted under high-salt conditions. The animals were implanted with C-10 transmitters (Data Sciences International, St. Paul, MN) as described previously (37) to record systolic BP via radiotelemetry. After the animals recovered from surgery, a baseline systolic BP reading was recorded for 1 h \( T = 0 \) h. To deplete the resident microbiota, we followed the procedure described by Manichanh et al. (32). The animals were treated with 50 mg/kg/day of Vancomycin (Hospira) and 50 mg/kg/day of Meropenem (Novaplus) in their drinking water along with 50 mg/kg/day of Omeprazole (SIGMA) by oral gavage for 3 days. This was followed by transplantation of cecal contents on day 4.

Isolation of cecal content and DNA. On day 4, cecal contents were collected from S and R rats \( (n = 6/\text{group}) \). Samples within a group were pooled and immediately transplanted by gavage as quickly as possible \( (\text{within} \sim 10–20 \text{ min}) \). Before the cecal content was pooled, an aliquot from the cecal content of each animal was snap-frozen on dry ice and processed overnight for DNA isolation with the Promega Wizard SV 96 Genomic DNA Purification System (Promega, Madison, WI). DNA isolated was stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C} \) to be used at a later time for pyrosequencing.

Transplantation study. To ensure that there were no pre-existing differences in systolic BP between the experimental groups, we grouped animals so that the average systolic BP for S rat groups were similar and that of the R rat groups were similar \( (n = 6–10/\text{group}) \). During transplantation, one group of S rats \( (n = 11) \) received the pooled cecal content from R rats. This group was designated the R to S group. The second group of S rats \( (n = 10) \) received the pooled cecal content from S rats. This group was designated as the S to S group. Similarly, a group of R rats \( (n = 6) \) received pooled cecal content from S rats. This group was designated the S to R group. The second group of R rats \( (n = 6) \) received pooled cecal content from R rats. This group was designated the R to R group. Each rat received 2 ml of cecal content by oral gavage. Telemetry recording began immediately after transplantation. Rats were maintained from weaning on a high-salt (2% NaCl) diet unless otherwise mentioned as low-salt diet when they were maintained on a 0.3% NaCl diet. Rats in the survival experiment were continued to be fed with 2% NaCl-containing diet until their natural death.

Antibiotic treatment study. A separate group of S rats was raised, and at 4 wk of age, while on a high-salt diet, they were surgically implanted with C-10 radiotelemetry transmitters. Postrecovery for 3 days, their BP was recorded. The animals were subsequently divided into two groups of \( n = 10/\text{group} \) and continued to be given a high-salt diet. Only one of the groups was administered 50 mg/kg/day of Vancomycin (Hospira) and 50 mg/kg/day of Meropenem (Novaplus, same class as Imipenem) in their drinking water for the entire experiment. BP of these two groups of S rats was periodically recorded.

Determination of serum and urinary sodium content. Urine and serum were collected from both pre- and posttransplantation groups of rats on a high-salt diet. Presamples were collected from rats maintained on a 2% NaCl diet from weaning but before any antibiotic or cecal content delivery. For urine collection, animals in the pretransplant group and 27 days posttransplantation group were transferred into metabolic cages with access to water, but not food, for 24 h urine collection as described previously (20). At the end of the urine collection period, the urine volume was recorded, and before the rats were moved back to their home cages with access to food ad libitum, blood was collected by retro-orbital puncture. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 13,000 g. Both serum and urine were stored at \(-80^\circ\text{C} \) until analysis.

RESULTS

S and R rats Are Not Identical in Their Cecal Microbiotal Compositions

To determine the microbiotal compositions of S and R rats, cecal DNA was isolated from both S and R rats, and the variable regions v1–v3 regions of bacterial 16S rRNA genes were sequenced. We obtained an average of 5,893 quality amplicon sequences/sample. Individual sample unique “quality” amplicon data are provided in Table 1. The 16S rRNA sequences were analyzed using QIIME scripts as described in METHODS. The microbiotal composition of S and R rats differed at both the levels of phylum and family (Fig. 1, A and B). Bacteria belonging to the phylum Bacteroidetes was higher in the S rats compared with R rats (Fig. 1A). All other phyla, including Firmicutes, were not altered between S and R rats, although a trend was observed with proteobacteria being lower in the S.
that both weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances were analyzed with the ANOSIM test on 999 permutations. The results demonstrate that microbial compositions were different (Fig. 1, A–D). Weighted analysis based on abundance of sequences grouped into operational taxonomic units placed in the context of the bacterial phylogenetic tree indicates that cecal microbiota composition of S and R rats was distinctly different from that in the R rats (Fig. 1B).

Next, the 16s rRNA gene sequence datasets obtained were analyzed with UniFrac, a tool that computes phylogenetic similarity between microbial communities based on the degree to which their taxa share branch length. PCoA plots indicate that microbiota composition of S and R rats are grouped within distinct clusters (Fig. 1, C and D). Weighted analysis based on abundance of sequences grouped into operational taxonomic units placed in the context of the bacterial phylogenetic tree indicates that cecal microbiota composition of S and R rats was distinctly different from each other (Fig. 1C). Similarly, unweighted analysis, which does not consider the abundance of operational taxonomic units but assesses uniqueness of distribution, also indicates that the S and R rat cecal microbiota compositions were different (Fig. 1D). The statistical analysis of these distance matrices was performed with the ANOSIM test on 999 permutations. The results demonstrate that both weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances were significantly different (P = 0.001) compared with each other, indicating definitive differential microbiota composition in these rats (Fig. 1, C and D).

### Host Genomic Sequence Variation of S and R Rats

Gut microbial populations are dependent on the host for their survival, and hence differences in the gut microbial populations could be, at least in part, attributed to variations between the host genomes. To assess the variations between the S and R rat genomes, data collected from our previous study through whole genome analyses of these two strains were used to analyze the extent of variations between S and R rats. The densities of variations between S and R rats are shown in Fig. 2. There are a total of 2,058,300 variants estimated between the S and R rat genomes.

### Exacerbated Hypertension in High Salt-fed S Rats Administered with Microbiota from Dahl R Rats

The hypothesis for the study was that microbial content from the hypertensive S rats contributes to the development of hypertension and that the depletion of the same with antibiotics, along with gastric suppression followed by the introduction of the cecal content from the normotensive R rats, should be protective, i.e., attenuate development of hypertension in the S rat. Surprisingly, compared with the S rats administered with S rat cecal content (S to S group in Fig. 3A), the systolic BP of the S rats given a single bolus of cecal content from R rats (R to S group in Fig. 3A) was consistently and significantly elevated throughout their lifetime (Fig. 3A, Table 2). Mean BP, but not diastolic BP, was also similarly elevated in the R to S group compared with the S to S group (Fig. 3, B and C). Heart rates were not different between the groups (Fig. 3D).

Similar to the above studies in S rats, groups of R rats were also maintained on a high-salt diet and their blood pressures were monitored following oral administration of either S or R rat cecal contents. R rats did not increase their BP in response to the high-salt diet (Fig. 3A). Systolic, diastolic, mean BP, and heart rates of R rats transplanted with S rat cecal content (S to R group) were not significantly different from that of the R rats that received microbiota cecal content from R rats (R to R group) (Fig. 3, A–D).

### No Change in Fractional Sodium Excretion in the R to S Transplanted Group

To test whether the transplantation of microbes was associated with any alterations in reabsorption of salt, urinary sodium content was examined. Pretransplantation, S and R rats differed in their serum and urine sodium excretions. S rats had lower serum and urinary sodium concentrations compared with R rats (Fig. 4). Posttransplant of cecal content, the S rats in the R to S group and the S to S groups were similar in their serum and urine sodium excretions. S rats had lower serum and urinary sodium concentrations compared with R rats (Fig. 4). However, the fractional excretion of sodium (sodium clearance/glomerular filtration rate) of the R to S transplanted animals was not different from the S to S transplanted group (data not shown).

**Table 1. Microbiota sequence reads data summary**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rat ID</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Quality Unique Amplicons/Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S5842</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>6,713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5843</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>2,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5844</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>6,530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5845</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>7,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5891</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>6,601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5892</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>5,741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5893</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>7,142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5894</td>
<td>Srat_Cecum</td>
<td>6,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30405</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>5,860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30406</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>3,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30419</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>7,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30420</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>8,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30421</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>2,951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30427</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>3,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30428</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>6,245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R30429</td>
<td>Rrat_Cecum</td>
<td>8,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5354</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>3,764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5355</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>6,310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5363</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>7,305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5365</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>7,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5366</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>7,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5376</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_StoS</td>
<td>4,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5334</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>4,864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5336</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>3,814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3342</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>6,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3345</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>8,011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3346</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>1,703</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3352</td>
<td>Fecal Transfer_RtoS</td>
<td>5,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL sequences</td>
<td></td>
<td>161,217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total raw number of sequences analyzed: 189,518. Parameters for sequences to be considered: 1) between 200 and 1,000 bp length; 2) quality score > 25. Sequences with homopolymer run exceeding 6 were removed. Amplicon sequences that matched 100% with the barcodes were used for further analysis.
Comparisons of Lifespan of S Rats Transplanted with S or R Rat Microbiota

To assess whether the differential increase in hypertension of the S rats transplanted with S or R cecal content, we continued to feed all the S rats in the BP study reported in Fig. 3 until their natural death (Fig. 5). The S rats given cecal content from the R rats had a shorter lifespan compared with that of S rats transplanted with S rat cecal content (Fig. 5).

S Rats on a Low-salt Diet Do Not Demonstrate an Increase in BP in Response to Cecal Transplantation from R Rats

The BP monitoring experiments in the high salt-fed groups of S rats given cecal contents from either S or R rats were repeated and corroborated with three different groups of S rats of different age groups, both by telemetry and tail-cuff methods (data not shown). To examine whether the increase in BP of the R to S group of cecal content transplanted rats was a dietary salt-sensitive response, the transplantation studies were conducted in rats maintained on a low salt (0.3% NaCl)-containing diet. As shown in Fig. 6, A–D, systolic, diastolic, mean BP, and heart rates of the S rats given R rat cecal content were comparable to the S rats given S rat cecal content. These data demonstrate that the increase in systolic BP of the R to S group of rats compared with the systolic BP of the S to S group of rats is a salt-sensitive response.

Antibiotic Treatment and BP of the S Rats

To examine the possibility that the observed BP effect in the high salt-fed group of rats is due to the disturbances of the microbial composition as a result of the antibiotics used in the study, we eliminated the cecal transplantation procedure and studied the BP of groups of S rats, with or without antibiotic treatment. Systolic, diastolic, mean BP, and heart rates of S rats given the antibiotics were not significantly different from the group of S rats without antibiotic treatment (Fig. 6, E–H). These data indicate that transplantation of the cecal content from the R rats is specifically responsible for the observed increase in BP of the S rats on a high-salt diet transplanted with R rat cecal content.

Posttransplantation Microbial Profiling

To assess whether cecal transplantation had consequences on the overall microbiotal composition of the rats, posttransplantation of cecal content, and BP monitoring, fecal DNA samples were sequenced with primers for the v1–v3 regions of bacterial 16S rRNA genes. Fecal and not cecal samples were collected because animals were not terminated, but observed for survival. As shown Fig. 7A, there was a significant decrease...
in bacteria belonging to the phylum Tenericutes in the R to S transfer group compared with the S to S group. We did not observe any significant change in other groups at the phylum level. Interestingly, in the R to S transfer group compared with the S to S group, there was a significant decrease in the bacteria of the order Clostridiales belonging to the phylum Firmicutes as well as bacteria of the class Mollicutes belonging to the phylum Tenericutes. Most importantly, bacteria belonging to Veillonellaceae (phylum Firmicutes) were significantly reduced in the R to S transfer group compared with the S to S group (Fig. 7B), which corroborates very well with differences between the untreated S and R rats (Fig. 1B). The weighted and unweighted PCoA plots also clearly suggest the distinguishable clusters in these transplantation experiments (Fig. 7, C and D), which was statistically significant ($P = 0.001$) based on the ANOSIM statistical test with 999 permutations.

**Plasma SCFAs of Cecal Transplanted S Rats**

SCFAs are end products of microbial fermentation, reported to be linked to BP regulation (34, 38–41). To examine whether the composition of the S rat SCFA was altered as a result of the...
differential cecal transplantation experiment, plasma SCFAs were quantitated by mass spectrometry. Compared with the S rats transplanted with cecal content of S rats, plasma levels of acetate and heptanoate were significantly elevated in the S rats transplanted with cecal content of R rats (Fig. 8), suggesting that differential microbial composition affects plasma SCFA levels.

**DISCUSSION**

The involvement of gut microbiota in regulating metabolic syndrome is unequivocally established in the literature (12, 36, 51, 55, 58). Hypertension is one of the hallmarks of metabolic syndrome, which may or may not be, per se, influenced by gut microbiota compositions. Evidence for the gut microbiota to influence BP is limited. To date, there are two studies reported (38, 41), which were specifically focused on data obtained from an olfactory G protein-coupled receptor (Olfr78) and G protein-coupled receptor (Gpr41) knockout mice. To our knowledge, there are no gut microbiota studies reported in genetic models of hypertension.

The S rat and the R rats are genetic models of hypertension and normotension, respectively, that were developed from Sprague-Dawley rats by Lewis K. Dahl (25, 29). While hypertension in the S rats is exacerbated by a high-salt diet, BP of the R rat is unaltered by a high-salt diet (29). Since salt is absorbed by the gut, we were intrigued to construct the hypothesis that genetic factors influencing the gut milieu may contribute to the

**Table 2. Systolic BP of rats receiving cecal transplants**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days Posttransplant</th>
<th>SBP of S to S Transfer, mmHg</th>
<th>SBP of R to S Transfer, mmHg</th>
<th>SBP of R to R Transfer, mmHg</th>
<th>SBP of S to R Transfer, mmHg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>139 ± 2.7</td>
<td>139 ± 1.4</td>
<td>120 ± 2.0</td>
<td>124 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>140 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>146 ± 2.1*</td>
<td>129 ± 2.9</td>
<td>131 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>152 ± 4.4*</td>
<td>160 ± 2.7*</td>
<td>124 ± 3.2</td>
<td>122 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>164 ± 4.9*</td>
<td>179 ± 5.5*</td>
<td>120 ± 2.2</td>
<td>121 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>168 ± 5.3*</td>
<td>180 ± 5.7*</td>
<td>125 ± 2.0</td>
<td>126 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP, systolic blood pressure recorded by radiotelemetry; day 0, Day of cecal transplantation; *P < 0.05 between S to S and R to S transfers. The data from this experiment are plotted in Fig. 3A.

**Fig. 3. Blood pressure (BP) in high salt-fed animals posttransplantation of cecal content.** Rats were surgically implanted with radiotransmitters, transplanted with cecal contents, and monitored by radiotelemetry. A: average systolic BP ± SE is plotted against time. Red line connecting red triangles: S rats transplanted with R microbiota (R to S, n = 11); blue line connecting blue triangles: S rats transplanted with S microbiota (S to S, n = 10); red line connecting red circles: R rats transplanted with S microbiota (S to R, n = 6); blue line connecting blue circles: R rats transplanted with R microbiota (R to R, n = 6). Inset is the systolic BP recording of 2 days (demonstrating diurnal rhythms), with 4 h moving averages plotted for each day. Statistical analysis was done by 1-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05. B: diastolic BP (DBP). C: mean BP (Pressure). D: heart rate recordings of the R to S (red) and S to S (blue) groups. Recordings are of 2 days (demonstrating diurnal rhythms), with 4 h moving averages plotted for each day. Statistical analysis was done by 1-way ANOVA. *P < 0.05.
extent of hypertension in the S rat, but not in the R rat. If this were to be the case, we predicted that one such factor would be microbiota composition/metabolites, whereby we expected differences in cecal microbiota compositions between the S and R rats. The data collected from the S and R rats, especially the PCoA plots presented in Fig. 1 indeed confirm that there are significant differences between the cecal microbiota in S and R rats. Because the inbred S and R rats have been maintained in our colony under comparable conditions since they were inbred in the 1970s, we can rule out environmental factors contributing to the observed differences in their cecal microbiota. The evidence therefore points to genomic variation between S and R rats as a likely reason for the observed alterations in their cecal microbial content. According to the NextGen sequencing data obtained from whole genome sequencing of S and R rats (3), the genomic variation between these strains is estimated to be 2,058,300 bp (Fig. 2, estimated from data analyzed by the Rat Genome Database; http://www.rgd.mcw.edu). Since there are no variants in the homologous rat genes for Olfr78 and Gpr41, the two mouse genes reported for gut microbial links to hypertension (38), it is reasonable to conclude that variation within host genomic factors, which are not within Olfr78 and Gpr41, are likely influencing microbiota compositions of S and R rats.

To test whether these differences in microbiota contribute to the extent of BP in these rats, microbiota transplantation studies were conducted. R rats are insensitive to a high-salt diet. Their genome is relatively very resistant to alterations in BP (29). Accordingly, it was not surprising to observe that a combination of high-salt diet and microbiota transfer from the hypertensive S rat did not alter the BP of the R rat (Fig. 3A). Note in passing that the underlying assumption for administering cecal content was that cecal content from the S rat would promote hypertension and that from the R rat would contribute to normotension. We therefore expected that transfer of R rat cecal content (which contributes to normotension) would attenuate hypertension in the S rat. Contradictory to our expectations, S rats given R rat microbiota further exacerbated their hypertension that was sustained throughout their life and adversely affected their lifespan (Figs. 3A and 5). This suggested one of two possibilities: 1) The microbiota resident within the cecum of the S rat, but not the R rat, are in a symbiotic relationship with the host. For instance, they could be halophiles (salt-loving microbes), whereby depletion of such microbiota from the S would increase the bioavailability of salt to the S. Increased salt absorption could then explain the observed exacerbation of the BP in the S rat; or 2) there is no symbiotic relationship between the S rat and the S rat cecal microbiota.
The R rat microbiota is responsible for the observed increase in BP of the S rats that received cecal content from the R rats. To explore which of these possibilities is correct, we tested the BP of S rats that were treated exclusively with antibiotics but were not given cecal transplants. Systolic BP of the antibiotic treated S rats did not differ from the BP of the S rats that did not receive the antibiotic treatment (Fig. 6E). This experiment provided evidence to suggest that depletion of S rat microbiota was not sufficient to explain the observed increase in BP of the S rats with cecal transplants from R rats. Thus, we ruled out the first possibility that the S rat microbiota has a symbiotic relationship with the host. The data are therefore interpreted to conclude that the introduction of the R rat microbiota into the S rat is required for the observed increase in the BP of the S rats.

The question of why cecal content from the R rats exacerbated hypertension of the S rat is an important one that is largely beyond the scope of the current study. Nevertheless, microbiotal screening before and after transplantation studies point to a variety of alterations in the overall microbiotal...
composition, which are occurring along with one consistent factor between the pre- and posttransfer analyses, which is a lower level of the family Veillonellaceae under the phylum Firmicutes. Additional studies will be required to determine whether a reduction in Veillonellaceae is solely responsible for the observed alteration in BP or a combination of the reduction in Veillonellaceae, Clostridiales, and Mollicutes (along with any other bacteria that were not identified in our study) contributes to the increase in BP of the S rats given R rat cecal content.

The observation that R rat cecal microbiota elevates BP of the S rat only when the S rats are on a high-salt diet combined with the observation that urinary sodium is significantly reduced in the R to S group compared with all other experimental groups in the current study was suggestive of an increase in salt reabsorption that may have caused BP to be higher in the R to S group. However, there was no change in fractional excretion in the R to S group compared with the S to S group, suggesting that the observed differences in BP between these groups is perhaps not due to differential salt reabsorption.

To explore potential mechanisms by which differential microbial populations affect BP in the Dahl rats, we next focused on SCFAs, which are end products of fermentation by the gut microbiota and are absorbed into the circulation. Interestingly, both acetate and heptanoate were higher in the R to S transfer group compared with the S to S transfer group. Both of these SCFAs were not different between the pretransfer S and R groups (data not shown), which lends support to the view that the genome of the S rat is unable to compensate for the excess

Fig. 7. Posttransfer profiling of S and R microbiota compositions. Posttransfer bacterial taxonomic classification of S and R fecal content into phyla (A) and families (B). Blue bars: bacteria in S rats (n = 6) receiving S rat cecal content; red bars: bacteria in S rats (n = 6) receiving R rat cecal content. *P < 0.05. Bottom: PCoA plots: unweighted (C) and weighted (D) UniFrac distances. Blue spheres represent S to S gut microbiota. Red spheres represent R to S gut microbiota.

Fig. 8. Targeted plasma metabolomic profiling for short chain fatty acids. On day 42 posttransplantation plasma samples were collected from S rats transferred with S (n = 5) or R (n = 6) cecal contents. These plasma samples were subjected to a targeted short chain fatty acid analysis by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry as described in METHODS. Data are expressed as means ± SE; *P < 0.05.
of plasma acetate to the extent similar to that of R rats. In fact, all genetic variations exist between the genomes of the S and R rats for several olfactory receptor genes, Olr129, Olr1392, and Olr1876 and G protein-coupled receptor genes, Gpr3711, Gpr89b, Gpr110, Gpr155, and Gpr161 (Variant visualizer at http://www.rgd.mcw.edu). Of note, at least one of the G protein-coupled receptors, Gpr43, is a known receptor for acetate (http://cordis.europa.eu/result/rcn/33423_en.html) and is implicated in host-microbial cross talk (4, 27, 54). Thus, the data presented in our study on the increased plasma SCFA in the R to S group prompt further exploration into allelic variants within novel SCFA receptors as candidate genes for host-microbiota interactions contributing to salt-sensitive hypertension.
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