Anatomical phenotyping in the brain and skull of a mutant mouse by magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography

Brian J. Nieman,1,2 Ann M. Flenniken,3 S. Lee Adamson,3,4 R. Mark Henkelman,1,2 and John G. Sled1,2

1Mouse Imaging Centre, Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto; 2Department of Medical Biophysics, University of Toronto, Toronto; 3Centre For Modeling Human Disease, Samuel Lunenfeld Research Institute, Mount Sinai Hospital, Toronto; and 4Heart and Stroke/Richard Lewar Centre of Excellence, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Submitted 23 August 2005; accepted in final form 28 October 2005

Nieman, Brian J., Ann M. Flenniken, S. Lee Adamson, R. Mark Henkelman, and John G. Sled. Anatomical phenotyping in the brain and skull of a mutant mouse by magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography. Physiol Genomics 24: 154–162, 2006; doi:10.1152/physiolgenomics.00217.2005—Since genetically modified mice have become more common in biomedical research as models of human disease, a need has also grown for efficient and quantitative methods to assess mouse phenotype. One powerful means of phenotyping is characterization of anatomy in mutant vs. normal populations. Anatomical phenotyping requires visualization of structures in situ, quantification of complex shape differences between mouse populations, and detection of subtle or diffuse abnormalities during high-throughput survey work. These aims can be achieved with imaging techniques adapted from clinical radiology, such as magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography. These imaging technologies provide an excellent nondestructive method for visualization of anatomy in live individuals or specimens. The computer-based analysis of these images then allows thorough anatomical characterizations. We present an automated method for analyzing multiple-image data sets. This method uses image registration to identify corresponding anatomy between control and mutant groups. Within- and between-group shape differences are used to map regions of significantly differing anatomy. These regions are highlighted and represented quantitatively by displacements and volume changes. This methodology is demonstrated for a partially characterized mouse mutation generated by N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea mutagenesis that is a putative model of the human syndrome oculodentodigital dysplasia, caused by point mutations in the gene encoding connexin 43.
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GENETICALLY MODIFIED ANIMALS, especially mice, have become commonplace in biomedical research. Although the study of these animals opens new opportunities for understanding the biology of development and disease processes, it also introduces a need for efficient and reliable mouse characterization. Such characterization must be as objective and as extensive as possible, particularly since individual genetic mutations may produce unknown and unanticipated alterations in several developmental or physiological processes. A common phenotype symptomatic of such changes is abnormal anatomy or morphology since both developmental defects and functional deficiencies are commonly accompanied by anatomical changes. As a consequence, techniques for rapid anatomical characterization are highly beneficial to studies of mutant mice.

Medical imaging technologies are effective in depicting human anatomy and can likewise be used for anatomical phenotyping when adapted to the mouse scale (4, 14, 15, 27, 33, 36). These technologies have the advantage of being noninvasive and repeatable for longitudinal in vivo examinations. Furthermore, they provide superior three-dimensional and nondestructive visualization over large regions for fixed specimens compared with traditional sectioning. Imaging thus complements traditional pathology and histology, which offer higher resolution but are labor intensive and limited in anatomical coverage.

As with other phenotyping studies, thorough imaging studies require comparison of mouse populations, typically an anatomically normal control group and a mutant group. It is important that there be several mice in each group since anatomical differences should be assessed against inherent biological variability. Given that each individual three-dimensional image can be very large, comparison of an ensemble of images for subtle differences is not practical by traditional radiological observation. Furthermore, if more than one mutant, experimental condition, or time point is to be evaluated, then the task of systematic anatomical analysis can quickly become enormous. An automated protocol for the initial evaluation of mouse phenotypes would clearly be beneficial in identification of anatomical differences consistent over a mutant population.

To automate analysis of images, a means of computational image comparison is required. Image registration may be used in this capacity. The aim of registration is to find a corresponding anatomical location in a reference image for every location in the given image. When defined over the whole image, these correspondences completely capture the shape difference between two individuals. The displacement of a corresponding location between two images is represented by a vector, and the set of these vectors for a given image is referred to as a deformation field. Deformation-based morphometry refers to the analysis of a group of deformation fields to identify significant anatomical changes between populations. Analyses of this kind have been applied in humans to establish, for example, anatomical differences dependent on gender and handedness (19), age (20), and schizophrenia (16).

In this paper, we implement deformation-based morphometry as a method of anatomical mouse phenotyping. The method greatly simplifies phenotyping analysis in two ways. First, average images are composed to represent each of the control and mutant mouse groups. This reduces the number of images that need to be examined. Second, significant anatomical changes are identified computationally as regions where intergroup deformations are large relative to the intragroup variations. These regions are subsequently highlighted as color...
overlays on the average images. Thus group differences are summarized by two representative images with highlighted regions superimposed. The highlighted areas are not restricted to user-defined anatomical structures but instead represent a continuous voxel-by-voxel definition of change. This is advantageous because anatomical changes can be localized to substructures, and shape differences that affect multiple structures simultaneously can be properly visualized as a collective. The method is demonstrated in vivo with magnetic resonance (MR) imaging (MRI) and in situ after fixation with both MRI and micro-computed tomography (CT).

We applied this phenotyping method to a mouse with a mutation in the gap junction protein alpha 1 gene (Gja1), which encodes for connexin43 (Cx43). Mutation of the GJA1 gene in human patients is associated with an autosomal dominant condition called oculodentodigital dysplasia (37). It is characterized by abnormal development of the face, limbs, and dentition (6, 18, 21, 35, 39). Neurological symptoms have also been described, sometimes including paraparesis or quadriaparesis, gait and bladder disturbances, and hyperreflexia (28). Several investigations of mice with modified Gja1 expression have been reported, with a range of described phenotypes. In knockout animals, phenotypes include defects in the germ line and gonads (24) and cardiac malformation (38). Other reports include a mutation induced with N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU) that produces a severely truncated Cx43 and shows cardiac defects in homozygous mice similar to the knockout phenotype (45). Studies in heterozygous animals have also been described with mice showing increased susceptibility to lung cancer (1). Expression patterns also implicate a role for Cx43 in limb formation (31).

In this study, the mutant is referred to as the Gja1mut mouse. This mutant was generated by chemical mutagenesis with ENU (22, 32). Characterization of the Gja1mut mutant has revealed numerous abnormalities consistent with impaired gap-junction formation and oculodentodigital dysplasia. These include syndactyly, morphological and functional cardiac defects, reduced bone mineral density, and abnormal dentition (13). We examined this mouse model by both MRI and CT of the head and discovered anatomical phenotypes in the brain and skull.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals. The Gja1mut mutant mouse (13) was generated by ENU mutagenesis at the Centre for Modeling Human Disease (Toronto, Ontario, Canada). Briefly, C57BL/6 male mice were treated with ENU and then bred with C3H/HeJ female mice. Offspring were screened for traits of interest (such as fused toes) and then bred to C3H/HeJ to test for heritability of this trait. Lines were maintained by breeding with C3H/HeJ females. Third-generation mice were used in these experiments, with unaffected littersmates used as controls. Five mice were included in each of the control and mutant groups. In vivo images were acquired at 0.8–1.0% concentration during the induction phase and then at 0.8–1.0% concentration throughout the imaging session. Three-dimensional fast spin-echo images were acquired on a cartesian matrix with image parameters: 12 ms echo time, 900 ms repetition time, 36 ms effective echo time, eight echoes, two averages, 40 × 24 × 24 mm field-of-view and 384 × 208 × 208 matrix size for an imaging time of 2 h 45 min. The excitation tip angle was set to 40°. This improves signal efficiency and T2-contrast by maintaining more magnetization longitudinally (34).

After acquisition of in vivo data, mice were fixed according to a protocol described previously (46). In this procedure, the mouse is anesthetized and an intravenous catheter (0.62-mm diameter) and needle are guided by high-frequency ultrasound (Vevo 660, Visual Sonics, Toronto, Canada) to puncture the left ventricle. Perfusion of saline and heparin is followed by 10% buffered formalin phosphate (Fisher Scientific, Nepean, Ontario, Canada). A contrast agent, gadopentetate dimeglumine (Magnevist, Berlex Canada, Quebec, Canada), was included in the perfusate solutions at 10 and 1 mM concentration in the heparin and formalin solutions, respectively; however, since the contrast agent does not cross the blood-brain barrier, it is not expected to significantly alter visualization of neuroanatomy. A simple multiple-mouse MRI three-dimensional spin-echo sequence was used to acquire head images of the fixed mice. Sequence parameters included 36 ms echo time, 550 ms repetition time, and 40 × 24 × 24 mm field-of-view and 512 × 300 × 300 matrix size for an imaging time of 13 h 45 min. In analogy to the partial excitation in the fast spin echo sequence, the excitation in the spin-echo sequence was set to maintain some magnetization longitudinally. However, the single 180°-refocusing pulse in the spin echo also serves as an inversion pulse. Because the magnetization must be returned to the positive longitudinal axis to be beneficial to signal efficiency and T2-contrast, an overdriven excitation angle is selected. In these experiments, a flip angle of 140° was used.

All MRI data were acquired on a Varian INOVA console (Varian NMR Instruments, Palo Alto, CA) with a 7.0-T magnet (Magnex Scientific, Oxford, UK). The system is equipped with a 29-cm inner bore diameter gradient set (Tesla Engineering, Storrington, Sussex, UK) with 120-mT/m maximum amplitude and 870-μs rise time. The mouse-handling hardware to accommodate multiple lines of anesthesia, radio frequency coils, and monitoring equipment were described elsewhere (11). In vivo fast spin echo images were reconstructed using MATLAB software (MathWorks, Natick, MA) with echo amplitude corrections (7). All other reconstructions were performed on an SGI Onyx 3800 with 32 CPUs and 32 gigabytes of RAM (Silicon Graphics, Mountain View, CA). Images were resampled using tricubic interpolation to 100 and 80 μm isotropic voxels before analysis for the in vivo and fixed MR data sets, respectively.

After MR image acquisitions were complete, the fixed mice were decapitated for the purpose of skull structure visualization by micro-CT. Three-dimensional CT data sets were acquired using a MS-9 micro-CT scanner (GE Medical Systems, London, Ontario, Canada) (30) with the X-ray source at 80 kVp (mean energy of incident beam: 32 keV). Images were acquired in 2.5 h with 900 views and reconstructed on a 120-μm isotropic grid using the Feldkamp algorithm for cone-beam CT geometry (12). The computed images show calcified bone as highly intense regions against a relatively uniform dark background.

Image analysis. To visualize and compare data sets, average images were generated from each of the three imaging protocols for both control and mutant groups using the registration procedure described previously (25). In this method, an average image is composed from all members of the population. This average is formed through a series of registration steps. In the first step, the brains are normalized with respect to orientation, location, scale, and intensity. This removes image differences unrelated to biological variations such as translations and rotations and also provides estimates of global size differences. A common space is also defined to represent images in a spatially unbiased fashion (43, 44). A voxelwise average of the images in this orientation provides an initial average image estimate.
Subsequently, nonlinear registration of the individual images to the average provides a new set of images that allows creation of an improved average representation. This process is repeated iteratively at progressively finer resolutions until the final average is achieved, at which point correspondence is achieved by shifting individual image voxels. The resulting deformation field represents all such voxel displacements and encodes the shape differences between each image and the population average. The set of deformation fields from all images encodes the population variability. It is convenient to quantify this variability as an average over all voxels of the root mean square displacement (after subtraction of the mean group changes). This is calculated directly from the deformation fields and serves to assess the relative sensitivity of each image analysis.

The average control image for each imaging protocol was used as a reference for further analysis. Each image from the mutant population was registered to this reference using the same series of steps used to produce the control and mutant averages. Altogether, the complete set of deformation fields maps each image to its respective average as well as each mutant image to the control average. The various images and deformation fields for each protocol are represented schematically in Fig. 1, where lines between images represent the deformation fields. The deformation fields to the control average, labeled as $D_{C1-5}$ and $D_{M1-5}$ for the control and mutant data, respectively, are used for further analysis.

After generation of the average images and associated deformation fields, several differences between the mutant and control images were assessed. First of all, the size of the mutants was compared with the controls. This was accomplished by considering the overall scale factors determined in the initial linear registration steps. If the average mutant mouse is smaller or larger than the average control mouse, then significant deviations from unity will be apparent in the scale factors. The significance of scale differences was assessed by a Student’s $t$-test of log-scale values. Second, anatomical phenotypes related to shape were recognized as mean displacements in the mutant deformation fields that are large compared with the displacements within each group. The regions of greatest interest can be visualized in this case by calculation of a Hotelling $T^2$ field (8, 40). This statistical map can be thought of as a mean squared displacement over variance quantity, so that large values of the Hotelling $T^2$ field indicate regions of significant change. Third and last in our assessment, local volume changes were estimated from the deformation fields. The deformation fields to the control average, labeled as $D_{C1-5}$ and $D_{M1-5}$ for the control and mutant data, respectively, are used for further analysis.

Image processing was performed using in-house software. The packages AIR5.22 from the University of California, Los Angeles (43, 44), and ANIMAL from the Montreal Neurological Institute (10) were used for estimating linear and nonlinear deformations, respectively. Before analysis, all deformation fields were smoothed using a three-dimensional Gaussian kernel with full-width half-maximum equal to three image voxels (i.e., 0.3, 0.24, and 0.36 mm, respectively, for the in vivo MRI, fixed MRI and CT data sets). The analysis for each set of images required 10–15 h to run using ten 600-MHz processors on the SGI Onyx 3800. Note that a single high-end workstation with two processors operating at 2–3 GHz could also perform the analysis in roughly the same time frame.

RESULTS

The results of the imaging and average image generation are presented in Fig. 2 for each of the different modalities. A complete sample of image data from an individual mouse is shown in the first row for all three image protocols. The next two rows show the control and mutant average images. Note that the average generation and analysis were limited to the brain volume in the MR data and excluded surrounding muscle, eyes, and other tissue. Similarly, the CT analysis was restricted to craniofacial regions and did not include the mandible, teeth, or spine. The average images show an apparent improvement in image quality compared with the individual image. We have found this to be typical of averages generated for inbred mouse strains, where the population is genetically homogeneous (9, 25).

The first step in our analysis was to consider the relative size of mutants and controls. Overall, mutant mice were observed to be smaller than their control counterparts. Before in vivo imaging, control mice weighed 31 ± 4 g, whereas mutant mice weighed only 20 ± 2 g. Thus it was expected that some scale differences would be evident in the registration analysis. The average size factors generated by the linear registration algorithm are given in Table 1. By definition, the control scale factors average to unity. The most obvious scale changes appear in the mutant skull data, particularly in the anterior-posterior direction. Manual measurements confirmed the trends indicated in Table 1 and provide a quantitative measure of image geometry. Skull dimensions in the average control image were measured to be $10.9 \text{ mm}$ (across the squamosal bones), $23.9 \text{ mm}$ (from the posterior of the occipital bone to the anterior of the nasal bones), and $7.5 \text{ mm}$ (from the inferior face of the basioccipital bone to the superior face of the parietal bones). Equivalent average mutant skull dimensions were measured to be $10.2, 20.6, \text{ and } 7.5 \text{ mm}$, respectively. This is equivalent to scale factors of 0.94, 0.86, and 1.0; note that an exact correspondence between these scales and those in Table

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram indicating the control images, mutant images, control average image, and mutant average image. Lines between each of the images represent deformation fields determined by nonlinear registration. The deformation fields to the control average (labeled $D_{C1-5}$ and $D_{M1-5}$ for the control and mutant groups, respectively) were used for image analysis and generation of statistical maps.](http://physiolgenomics.physiology.org/ by 10.2203.34 on June 21, 2017)
1 is not expected since these are by nature single-point measurements, whereas the registration-derived scales account for the entire skull volume. The MRI data also indicated changes in the size of control and mutant brains, particularly in the fixed mouse data. Manual volume measurements of the brain confirm the size change. The average control brains were calculated to have volumes of 490 and 500 mm$^3$ for the in vivo and fixed MR brains, respectively. Mutant mice were found to have smaller brains, measuring 450 and 440 mm$^3$.

Results of the deformation field analysis for the MR data are shown in Fig. 3. The left column shows the in vivo results and the right column shows the fixed results. From top to bottom, Fig. 3 displays the control average images, the mutant average images after 12-parameter linear registration, the control average images with an overlay of vectors at $/H_{11003}^2$ scale showing the mean deformation field to the mutant images, the Hotelling $T^2$ statistical field calculated from the deformation fields, the magnitude of mean mutant deformations masked by setting a threshold on the Hotelling $T^2$ field, and finally the determinants of the Jacobian matrices as masked by the Student's $t$-test of log-Jacobian values. The thresholds for the regions of interest in Fig. 3, I–L, were determined according to the false discovery rate (FDR) (2, 3, 17). The permitted FDR was adjusted in each case until the most prominent features of the statistical map, either the Hotelling’s $T^2$ or the $t$-test field, were above threshold. For the MRI data, relatively high FDR values were necessary (20, 15, 35, and 25% for Fig. 3, I–L, respectively). This indicates that the changes are relatively subtle with respect to biological variability and image resolution. The deformation field variability was characterized by calculation of the average root mean square displacement, determined to be 160 and 140 $/H_{9262}$ m for the in vivo and fixed data, respectively. Calculated values within each of the mutant and control groups were identical.

From the vector fields in Fig. 3, $E$ and $F$, the regions with the largest displacements appear to be within the cerebellum and the forebrain, just posterior to and including the olfactory bulb. The magnitude displacement overlays provided in Fig. 3, $G$ and $H$, confirm this impression, indicating that the most significant and largest continuous region of shape change is in the posterior and superior portion of the cerebellum. Displacements of $/H_{11011}^400$ $/H_{9262}$ m are evident in this region. The cerebellum

### Table 1. Relative sizes in the mutant and control for three imaging protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Protocol</th>
<th>Mouse Group</th>
<th>Left-Right Scale</th>
<th>Anterior-Posterior Scale</th>
<th>Inferior-Superior Scale</th>
<th>Scale Product</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In vivo MRI</td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>0.97±0.01</td>
<td>0.95±0.04</td>
<td>1.03±0.02</td>
<td>0.95±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.00±0.04</td>
<td>1.00±0.06</td>
<td>1.00±0.07</td>
<td>1.00±0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed MRI</td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>0.94±0.03*</td>
<td>0.92±0.05*</td>
<td>1.01±0.02</td>
<td>0.88±0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.00±0.03*</td>
<td>1.00±0.05*</td>
<td>1.00±0.03</td>
<td>1.00±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro-CT</td>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>0.91±0.01*</td>
<td>0.88±0.02*</td>
<td>0.96±0.01*</td>
<td>0.76±0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.00±0.01</td>
<td>1.00±0.02</td>
<td>1.00±0.03</td>
<td>1.00±0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scale values are means ± SD for each mouse and were generated by linear registration to the control average image. The product of the three scale factors were multiplied together and then averaged to yield a scale product representative of volume changes. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; CT, computed tomography. *$P < 0.05$ using a Student’s $t$-test of log-scale values.
displacements appear to occur for the most part without producing volume changes, as evidenced by Fig. 3, I and J. The most obvious region of volume change is actually in the olfactory bulb (from the fixed mouse data in Fig. 3 L). This can be observed by comparison of Fig. 3, B and D. A similar trend can be seen in the in vivo data in Fig. 3, A and C, but does not reach significance in the in vivo Jacobian calculation. Of additional interest in the fixed data is the anterior region of the cerebellar folia. A change in the position of folia branching appears to occur with neighboring size adjustments evident in the Jacobian data (Fig. 3, D and L, red arrows). This feature was inspected individually in all of the fixed mouse images and found to be consistently present in all the mutant images but absent in all the control images. Additionally, the anterior commissure is highlighted in the fixed mouse data, showing a size decrease (Fig. 3L, green arrow). This feature is much more obvious in a horizontal slice as shown in Fig. 4. The Jacobian values show a consistent decrease all along the horseshoe path of the anterior commissure (Fig. 4C). Manual measurements in the control average image and mutant average image (after...
12-parameter registration) indicate an overall decrease in anterior commissure width from ~320 to 240 μm. Although this change is considered to be at the limit of detection, being only one image voxel, the Jacobian data appears consistent with this measurement. Overall, the highlighted features in the MR data were sufficiently reliable that images could be identified as either control or mutant based on individual features.

Figure 5 shows the results of the deformation field analysis for the CT data. This data showed both more sizeable and more significant mutant differences. In this case, surface renderings of the three-dimensional average images are shown in all panels except for the sagittal cut in Fig. 5C. Similar to the MR data, Fig. 4 shows the control average, the mutant average following 12-parameter linear registration, the control average in the sagittal plane with a projection of the mean mutant following 12-parameter linear registration, the control average data, Fig. 4 shows the control average, the mutant average panels except for the sagittal cut in Fig. 5.

Deformations as large as 1 mm are observed, particularly in the anterior portion of the skull. In fact, the changes in the skull are accompanied volume changes with these displacements (Fig. 5F). This is most obvious in the anterior portion of the zygomatic arch and along the suture between the parietal and interoccipital bones. The Jacobian data show that there are accompanying volume changes with these displacements (Fig. 5F). This is most obvious in the anterior portion of the zygomatic arch and along the suture between the parietal and interoccipital bones. The latter can also be appreciated from the MRI data (i.e., Fig. 3, A–D). In fact, the changes in the skull shape were found to be consistent with those of the brain, with significant deformations in regions near the olfactory bulb, forebrain, and cerebellum. However, as described, the CT data further revealed large regions of facial deformation.

**DISCUSSION**

We note that the Gja1Jrt phenotypes discussed in this paper were highlighted in a simple and automated fashion. Large three-dimensional data sets were simplified to small regions-of-interest with further quantification provided in these areas. A large number of images were combined into a compact and manageable representation. In this manner, the analysis “handwork” was restricted to verification and description of the identified phenotypes. This makes anatomical phenotyping a much simpler process, as demonstrated here in the Gja1Jrt mutant. This type of method is expected to improve the efficiency of data analysis in mouse phenotyping studies and will render such studies more interpretable.

Overall, the phenotyping method was successful for all three of the different imaging protocols presented in this paper, namely in vivo MRI, fixed MRI, and micro-CT. However, the resolution and accuracy of phenotyping results did vary from one protocol to another. Clearly, the number, type, and quality of images in the data set influence the outcome of any phenotyping experiment. The analysis method described here necessitates a minimum of eight mouse images; the Hotelling T2 calculation requires six degrees of freedom to estimate a covariance matrix plus an additional degree of freedom for each the control and mutant averages. However, the addition of more images will always render a study more powerful, enabling more subtle phenotypes, if present, to be unambiguously detected. Careful selection of the number of mice to include in a study will thus require consideration of the desired scale of phenotype resolution compared with the level of biological variability and image resolution. For exploratory investigations, 10–12 mice are reasonable in our experience. Improved phenotype detection can also be achieved by the choice of an appropriate imaging modality. For instance, in the present study, the CT data demonstrated a much more pronounced differences than the MR data despite the larger background variability in the CT data; the improved detection by CT is due to the large craniofacial defects in the Gja1Jrt mutant compared with relatively subtle soft tissue deformations. The detection capability of an imaging modality is a strong function of the particular phenotype of interest. Of course, without a priori knowledge of the mouse phenotype, selection of an optimal imaging modality may not be possible. Beyond the biological variation, detection is inherently limited by image quality. Image resolution, contrast-to-noise ratio, and artifact levels are all important factors. For instance, the in vivo data was both less significant and less informative than the fixed MR results. This was in part due to the reduced number of control mice (four instead of five) but also a function of the lower resolution and increased artifact level inherent to in vivo data.

In fact, the improved detection apparent in the fixed MR images makes this an attractive protocol for phenotyping. However, it is important to note that the fixed images came at the cost of imaging sessions that were five times longer and would clearly be inappropriate for any form of longitudinal study. Thus, for future studies, the nature of the mouse mutation and the desired resolution of anatomical information will guide the design of an imaging protocol. If fixed mice are acceptable, then the improved image quality increases the...
likelihood of detecting anatomical phenotypes. The close correspondence of volume measurements between the in vivo and in situ fixed MR data (within 3% in this study) suggests that this increased phenotyping capability does not necessarily compromise quantitation. In this sense, in situ fixation is far more desirable than traditional methods of ex vivo fixation, in which tissue shrinkage or deformation can significantly alter results (29). Conversely, ongoing improvements in imaging protocols continue to increase the resolution and reduce the artifact level of in vivo scans. For instance, high-resolution cine MR images of the beating heart can be acquired (41, 42). These advances allow the methodology presented here to detect more subtle phenotypes with live image data and to expand to other parts of the body otherwise obscured by physiological motion.

Other factors may also affect the performance of this phenotyping method. For instance, nonlinear registration inherently assumes that there is corresponding anatomy in both the control and mutant groups. Phenotypes where large structures are introduced or removed would thus be likely to produce unreliable results. This represents a possible limitation of the algorithm. However, we note that such phenotypes are more likely to be obvious on radiological observation. Consequently, the phenotyping procedure as presented here still provides an important simplification by reducing the number of images to be examined. Mutations that produce subtle changes in three-dimensional shape or size are the ones less easily identified by manual observation. The analysis presented here is intended to more effectively identify the latter phenotypes.

This study demonstrates that the quantification of anatomical phenotypes enables a precise description of mutant abnormalities. However, characterization of the phenotype does not reveal the associated mechanisms of malformation. The abnormalities observed by imaging in the brain and skull of the Gja1Jrt mouse raise an interesting question about interactions during brain and skull development. Further investigation would be required to understand the dominant factors that determine the size and shape of the head. In the case of the Gja1 gene, supplemental information is already available. Previous reports of knockout mice lacking Cx43 indicate that it plays an important role in osteoblast function and proper ossification (26). Furthermore, the migration of cells from the neural crest, which contributes to the growth of the cranial vault, is modified in a Cx43 dose-dependent fashion (23). This suggests that impaired Cx43 function leads to the improper formation of the skeletal elements in the head as observed here by micro-CT. The MR data also indicates changes in the overall brain shape that are consistent and possibly secondary.

Fig. 5. Highlighted analysis results from the CT data set. A: control average image. B: mutant average image after 12-parameter linear registration to the control average image. C: mean vector deformations from the control average to the mutant images shown at ×1 scale. They are projected onto the sagittal plane of the control average image and color coded according to their magnitude (in mm). Vector deformations were mostly restricted to the sagittal plane in this slice. The regions of most significant deformation were determined by calculation of a Hotelling T^2 field (shown in D) and then thresholding at a value corresponding to a 1% false discovery rate. E: magnitude of the mean mutant deformation field (in mm) is shown in the regions of significance as a color overlay. F: Jacobian values calculated from the deformation fields are shown by a color overlay. Region selection was established based on a Student’s t-test of log-Jacobian values and a 5% false discovery rate.
to the bone abnormalities. Additionally, however, local unre-
lated neuroanatomical changes are present. Thus, although it
seems that the skull development is certainly affected, whether
brain abnormalities also contribute to the changes in head
shape is not clear.

In conclusion, in this paper, we have presented a technique
for detection and analysis of anatomical phenotypes in the
mouse using three-dimensional image data. This technique
greatly reduces the complications of analyzing multiple spec-
imen anatomical data by representing populations with an
average image and highlighting regions where significant
changes have occurred. The method has been implemented
successfully with in vivo MRI, in situ MRI, and micro-CT for
the comparison of mutant and normal mouse anatomy in the
head. This technique uncovered important structural changes
in the skull and brain of the Gja1Jrt mutant mouse and is expected
to be appropriate for any biological study in which anatomy is
used to identify differences between control and experimental
groups.
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